

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/689,048	10/12/2000	Lavada Campbell Boggs	13934.1	6114	
75	90 01/27/2003				
Douglas H. Tulley, Jr. Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. Patent Department			EXAMINER		
			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
401 North Lake Street Neenah, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A 50
<del> </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summary		09/689,048	BOGGS ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		William P. Watkins III	1772	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the corresp ndence addres.	S
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the period for reply will, by the preply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>13 November 2002</u> .	•	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal mat inder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	ters, prosecution as to the me D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
•	ion of Claims	antion		
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are wit			
5.\	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	AIGIAWIT TOTT CONSIDERATION.		
•	Claim(s) <u>1-10, 16-20</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
, —	ion Papers	undror oroston roquitorion		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.		
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			•
* ;	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).
15) <u></u>	a)	ge provisional application has b omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	een received. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachme	nt(s)		1	
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
10 0 1 -1 1	T			

Art Unit: 1772

## Page 2

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant does not appear to have explicitly responded to the restriction requirement but has implicitly responded by presenting arguments drawn to the group elected by telephone. The examiner takes this as confirmation of the election of the Group I claims. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. The examiner expresses appreciation for applicant's updating the status of applications mentioned in the specification.
- 3. As a matter of claim construction the examiner takes the new claim language of "said first segment and said second segment abut one another" as meaning that the segments touch or join at a side or edge (Webster's II, New Riverside Dictionary, 1984 definition of "abut") and not that they touch or are joined at the major faces of the segments as in a multiplayer composite.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

4. The examiner withdraws the rejection using Austin et al. alone in view of the above constructed claim language. The rejection using Austin et al. in view of Radwanski et al. is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments in the specification.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Varona (U.S. 5,679,042).

See Figure 5 and col. 6, lines 50-55, where three different zones of different polymers are taught.

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Application/Control Number: 09/689,048

Art Unit: 1772

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-10, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varona (U.S. 5,679,042) in view of Boggs (U.S. 4,707,398).

Varona teaches a web of continuous fibers where there are different transverse zones of different fiber composition in order to vary pore size to control liquid transport in sanitary articles (abstract, Figure 5, col. 6, lines 50-55). Boggs teaches the use of elastic nonwoven webs in sanitary articles in order to allow better conformance to the body of the persons wearing the articles (col. 1, lines 10-35). The instant invention claims the use of elastic fibers in a zone of a nonwoven web and different fibers in another zone of the web. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the elastic fibers of Boggs in one zone of the nonwoven web of Varona in order to allow the web to better conform to the body of the wearer of a sanitary article because of the teachings of Boggs. It further would have been obvious to use any other type of elastic fiber in the web of Varona in view of Boggs, known to be used in nonwoven webs, such as olefin or

Page 5

styrene block copolymer elastomers, for the same purpose as that taught by Boggs.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally

Application/Control Number: 09/689,048 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

WW/ww January 24, 2003 WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

William Watter